

# Defining the Predictive Ceiling of Electrogram Features Alone for Predicting Outcomes From Atrial Fibrillation Ablation

## **Background:**

Prior studies have attempted to predict long-term outcomes from atrial fibrillation (AF) ablation using clinical variables, structural data and intracardiac electrograms (EGMs), but with modest results.

## **Hypothesis:**

We hypothesized that explainable machine learning of a very large dataset of patients undergoing AF Ablation using : (1) PCA combined with clustering; (2) Auto regressive models combined with classifiers; (3) handcrafted features; from EGMs may reveal novel features that predict arrhythmia freedom after AF ablation.

## **Methods:**

N=561 AF patients (65.0±10.4 yrs, 27.6% female) in whom unipolar EGMs were recorded at 64-sites. We developed 3 models, each probing different features of EGMs using 70%-30% split training/testing set:

1. PCA: Analyzing variances of PCA of eigenvector projecting more than a fixed threshold of the global variance (15%). We clustered eigenvectors to find common projection axis among them using k-means algorithm.
2. Auto Regressive: associated with a bijective transformation on the coefficients to use them as input for different ML Classifiers: Random Forest, SVC, AdaBoost, NB & LR.
3. Features Engineering: voltage, rate and shape similarity extracted from raw EGMs

## **Results:**

N=390 had freedom from arrhythmia (AF and AT) for <1 year after blanking period ("Freedom" group, 69.5%), and N=171 had recurrence ("Recurrence" group).

1. Projected variance of EGMS in Recurrence and Freedom groups respectively is larger in Recurrence group than in Freedom group ( $37.5 \pm 21.3\%$  vs  $29.5 \pm 15.9\%$  of the global variance,  $p=0.21$  for KS2).
2. AUC=0.71 with a Random Forest Classifier associated with Auto Regressive Processes of order 2 to predict long term outcomes
3. This was not improved by adding intrinsic electrogram features, with AUC=0.72 from raw EGMs.

## **Conclusions:**

Exhaustive analysis of electrogram data in AF patients provides limited predictive value for outcomes from AF ablation. PCA-Clustering and AR-Classifier revealed features that predicted AF ablation outcomes with modest success.