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Abstract

In cardiac ablation, image integration can simplify the
procedure, reduce complications and minimize radiation
exposure. To achieve these results, the accuracy of the
image integration process is crucial. In the present study,
we compared ICE (intra-cardiac echocardiography)-
guided landmarks registration with a new technique of
ICE-guided localized surface registration. Twenty
patients underwent registration by means of landmarks
collected under ICE guidance (Group A). Twenty
matched patients underwent the new localized ICE-
guided surface registration, which involves collecting a
single landmark in the inferior portion of the LIPV (left
inferior pulmonary vein) and some surface points in the
posterior area of the left PV antrum and around the
antrum of the right PVs (Group B). In group A, the mean
landmark error was 4.62 ± 1.65 mm and the mean
ablation-point error was 3.00 ± 0.99 mm. In group B, the
mean error between ECHO-guided surface points and
MRI/CT surface was 1.39 ± 0.31 mm and the mean
ablation-point error was 1.73 ± 0.29 mm. The quality of
the final alignment was validated by means of ICE in
both groups. Mathematical results combined with ICE-
guided validation revealed that ICE-guided surface
registration appears to offer better performance than
landmarks registration.

1. Introduction

CT/MRI image integration techniques in cardiac
ablation are used increasingly often, particularly in
pulmonary vein isolation for the treatment of atrial
fibrillation. This approach provides very good anatomical
detail and immediate identification of the ablator tip
inside the cardiac chamber [1,2].

This method simplifies the ablation procedure, reduces
complications and minimizes the exposure of both patient
and operator to radiation [3,4].

The success of these techniques is heavily dependent
on good synchronization between the electroanatomic
map and the 3D (CT/MRI) image [5]. There are two
standard approaches to performing the registration
process using the Carto Merge system (Biosense
Webster):

- Surface: the left atrium (LA) is reconstructed by
mapping the whole chamber by collecting points
(electroanatomic map) and the registration
process is then performed by fusing the 3D CT/
MRI image and the electroanatomic map [2].

- Landmarks: some predefined points on the
electroanatomic mapping system are acquired
under both ICE and fluoroscopy guidance. The
responding points are identified on the 3D
CT/MRI scan image. The registration process is
then executed [6].

The first method involves the risk of left atrial wall
deforation due to the pressure of the mapping catheter
on mobile regions [6]. The second does not guarantee a
good global fit and is dependent on the operator’s
interpretation [10]. The aim of this study was to compare
a new registration strategy, “local ICE-guided surface
registration”, with the landmarks registration technique.

2. Methods

Patient Population and Image Processing

ICE-guided pulmonary vein antrum isolation by means
of the Carto XP + Merge technology (Biosense Webster)
was performed in 40 consecutive patients to treat
symptomatic drug-refractory atrial fibrillation. Patient
characteristics are shown in tables 1 and 2.

In order to define left atrial and pulmonary vein
anatomy and to integrate this into the Carto XP
technology, all patients underwent multi-slice detector
CT scan (Lightspeed VCT GE Medical System). Before
the ablation procedure, raw CT data were inserted into
the Carto XP Image Integration module, the pulmonary
veins and left atrium being separated from the
surrounding structures. The images obtained were
imported into the real-time mapping system for
registration. Patients were divided into two groups according to the registration strategy used. In 20 patients, registration used posterior landmarks, as described by Fahmy [6] (Group A). These patients were compared with another 20 patients matched for type of atrial fibrillation, AF duration, LA diameter, heart disease and LVEF, in whom the local ICE surface registration technique was used (Group B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age [y]</td>
<td>56 ± 15</td>
<td>60 ± 9</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA [mm]</td>
<td>40 ± 7</td>
<td>41 ± 6</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF [%]</td>
<td>57 ± 6</td>
<td>59 ± 3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF duration [months]</td>
<td>57 ± 37</td>
<td>68 ± 61</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxysmal AF</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent AF</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent AF</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 1 and 2. Patient characteristics in groups A and B.

**Landmarks registration**

Landmarks registration was performed by acquiring some predefined points at the posterior wall close to the PV antra, as previously described. The corresponding points were identified on the 3-D CT image and the registration process was carried out.

**Local ICE-guided surface registration**

A landmark point acquired at the inferior border of the LIPV ostium under ICE and fluoroscopic guidance (Figure 1) was inserted into the CT image. Another 7 – 12 points were acquired under ICE guidance at the posterior wall of the left PV antrum, where ablation was to be performed. The anterior side of LPVs was avoided, in order to obviate the potential difficulty of discerning the position of the ablation catheter tip in relation to the vein and the left atrial appendage. In addition, it may be difficult to keep the ablation catheter in a stable position on this ridge. For each right PV, 4 – 7 points were acquired at the possible ablation site in both the posterior and septal walls. No points were acquired in other areas (Table 3). As the points were acquired, care was taken to maintain perpendicular contact between the catheter tip and the endocardial tissue. Once a good atrial signal was obtained from the ablation catheter, the surface points were acquired and registration was performed. Figure 2 shows the area of the registration points.

![Figure 1. ICE image of the catheter tip at the LIPV ostium.](image1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map points (#)</th>
<th>LIPV</th>
<th>RSPV</th>
<th>RIPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
<td>9 ± 1</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
<td>6 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Distribution of points in the areas of interest.

**Registration Algorithm**

Given that the patient undergoes CT/MRI and the ablation procedure in the same position, and that the assigned landmark guides the CT/MRI surface in the same plane as the anatomic map (visual alignment step), the CartoMerge registration software uses an iterative progression to provide a registration solution: the nearest local minimum to the initial spatial position [14]. Several studies have demonstrated that the square mean of distances of the points from surface, of the local minimum provided as a solution by the CartoMerge algorithm, is close to the value yielded by the global minimum [12,13].

![Figure 2. LA posterior view. The green lines show the areas of the registration points.](image2)
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Navigation phase and validation of registration

After registration, the quality of alignment of specific areas was assessed in both groups by means of ICE. This was done through ICE evaluation of the ability to reach the carina between the left PVs, the carina between the left PV and the LAA, and all the PVs by means of the CT map alone. Each ICE-confirmed area was regarded as one point. If four or fewer points were obtained, the registration process was repeated.

The mean landmark error (the distance between the point acquired under ICE guidance and the corresponding point on the CT surface image, provided by the physician), the mean surface error (the distance between acquired points and CT image) and the mean ablation error (the distance between ablation points acquired and CT image) were automatically calculated for each patient by a software feature of the Carto XP system. This last parameter, the mean ablation error, was considered a marker of alignment in both groups.

PVAI ablation procedure

To achieve pulmonary vein antrum isolation, we used a circular catheter (Lasso Biosense Webster, Inc) and a 3.5 mm tip thermocool catheter (Biosense Webster, Inc) to ablate at the antrum of the pulmonary vein until isolation and disappearance of all PV potentials were obtained. RF power was set at 30W in a power-controlled mode and titrated up to 40W, with a temperature limit of 42°C. This technique has been described in detail elsewhere [7]. To acquire ablation points, which were used as markers of alignment, both of the following conditions were met:

- Electrical contact: the catheter tip detected the electrical signal before ablation started; consequently, it showed the voltage amplitude reduction during delivery;
- Real visual contact: the catheter tip was visualized in contact with the endocardial tissue in the real-time ICE image; alternatively, it was visualized under fluoroscopy in contact with the Lasso catheter, which was placed on the ostium of the vein.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean±SD. Continuous variables were compared by means of Student’s t and the Mann-Whitney test. Categorical variables were compared by Chi-square analysis or Fisher’s exact test. Correlations were drawn between landmark and surface points by using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Results with P<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

In group A, the mean landmark error was 4.62 ± 1.65 mm and the mean ablation-point error was 3.00 ± 1.65 mm. No correlation was found (0.19) between the two errors; consequently, a landmark synchronization that displays a low mean error is not necessarily a good registration (Figure 3). In group B, the mean surface error was 1.39 ± 0.31 mm and the mean ablation-point error was 1.79 ± 0.28 mm. A strong correlation was found between the two errors (0.75); therefore, when local ICE-guided surface registration is used, a small error implies good synchronization (Figure 4).

The navigation score was lower than 4 points in 6 group A patients and 1 group B patient (p=0.05), as expected. The good performance of the proposed method is also shown by the duration of the phases of the procedure; procedure time, fluoroscopy time and registration-phase time were: 251 ± 48 min, 79 ± 24 min and 24 ± 2 min, respectively, in group A, and 203 ± 3 min, 59 ± 16 min, 15 ± 2, respectively, in group B (p<0.01, p=0.03, p=0.01).

Figure 3 and 4. Regression line between mean landmarks error and mean ablation-point error. Regression line between mean surface error and mean ablation-point error.

4. Limitations and conclusions

Limitations:

Various factors are involved in incorrect alignment: the movement of the patient’s chest during respiration is not compensated for by the system [8]; as the CT/MRI image is not acquired on the same day as the procedure,
the atrial volume may differ [5], and using an irrigated ablation catheter could create a difference in left atrial volume during the procedure. Moreover, the atrial rhythm could differ between the day of CT/MRI image acquisition and the day of the ablation procedure; this circumstance did not compromise the results of registration [9].

**Conclusion:**

Mathematical results combined with ICE-guided validation reveal that local ICE-guided surface registration appears to perform better than landmarks registration. The fact that the ablation point-to-CT image distance was greater than the surface point-to-CT image distance (1.73 ± 0.29 mm vs. 1.39 ± 0.31 mm) was, in our opinion, probably due to the different pressure applied to the mapping catheter in the ablation phase, to the edema generated along with the lesions, and to the amount of liquid infused during ablation by means of an irrigated catheter, which could change the shape of the chamber.

Local ICE-guided surface registration synchronizes acquired points on 3 continuous lines (figure 2). The number of points is balanced between left and right veins and acquired in locations where the catheter tip does not deform the chamber.

Our technique reduces the limitations of both strategies (surface and landmarks registration), facilitates the ablation procedure and reduces operator subjectivity, improving the reproducibility of registration.
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